

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEDRIC MABRY,

Plaintiff,

No. C 10-01127 WHA

v.

CITY OF SAN LEANDRO, a governmental
entity, IAN WILLIS, in his capacity as Chief of
Police for CITY OF SAN LEANDRO,
ANTHONY MORGAN and TROY YOUNG,
individually, and in their capacity as police
officers for CITY OF SAN LEANDRO, and
DOES 1–25, inclusive,

Defendants.

**REMINDER NOTICE OF
UPCOMING TRIAL
AND FINAL PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE**

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on **AUGUST 29, 2011**, at **2:00 P.M.**, with a **JURY TRIAL** on **SEPTEMBER 16, 2011**. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including *in limine* orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of

1 dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is
2 received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been
3 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed
4 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a
5 fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire
6 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial
7 act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure
8 pending a formal dismissal.


9 Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating
10 offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts).

11 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and
12 desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to
13 use them:

- 14 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 15 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 16 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, *e.g.*, Rule 39
17 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 18 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to
19 be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and
20 closing argument).

21 Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference
22 submissions.

23
24
25
26 Dated: June 29, 2011.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE